

## FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

Ken Lawson, Treasurer Hillsborough County Republican Executive Committee

MAR 2 2 2010

Tampa, FL 33611

**RE:** MUR 6212

Dear Mr. Lawson:

On September 8, 2009, the Federal Election Commission notified the Hillsborough County Republican Executive Committee and then-Treasurer Paul M. Phillips, of a complaint alleging violations of certain sections of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended. On March 15, 2010, the Commission found, on the basis of information provided in the complaint and by you, that there is no reason to believe the Hillsborough County Republican Executive Committee and Paul M. Phillips, in his official capacity as Treasurer, violated 2 U.S.C. §§ 441b and 434(b). Accordingly, the Commission closed its file in this matter.

Documents related to the case will be placed on the public record within 30 days. See Statement of Policy Regarding Disclosure of Closed Enforcement and Related Files, 68 Fed. Reg. 70,426 (Dec. 18, 2003). The Factual and Legal Analysis, which explains the Commission's findings, is enclosed for your information.

If you have any questions, please contact Camilla Jackson Jones, the attorney assigned to this matter, at (202) 694-1650.

Sincerely,

Mark D. Shonkwiler Assistant General Counsel

May She Row

Enclosure

Factual and Legal Analysis

# FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

#### **FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS**

RESPONDENTS:

ı

Hillsborough County Republican Executive Committee and Paul M. Phillips, as Treasurer

MUR: 6212

# I. INTRODUCTION

- This Complaint alleges that Lewis M. Oliver, III, Chairman of the Orange County
- 3 Republican Executive Committee ("OCREC" or the "Committee"), violated 2 U.S.C.
- 4 § 441b, a provision of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the
- 5 "Act"), by impermissibly mixing federal contributions with state donations in connection
- 6 with two transfers of \$10,000 between OCREC and Hillsborough County Republican
- 7 Executive Committee ("Hillsborough"). The Complaint also claims that Oliver either
- s failed to file, or filed false, disclosure reports regarding these and other transactions in
- 9 violation of 2 U.S.C. § 434(b).
- 10 Respondents Oliver, OCREC, and Hillsborough all deny the allegations in the
- 11 Complaint and point out that three Florida state agencies have already reviewed and
- 12 dismissed identical complaints. 1
- As discussed below, the Commission concluded that the transfers of funds made
- between the federal accounts of two affiliated state party committees, and a
- 15 corresponding transfer in the same amount between the non-federal accounts of the same

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Complaint mirrors identical complaints filed with and dismissed by the Florida State Attorney's Office, Florida Department of Law Enforcement, Office of Executive Investigations, and the Florida Elections Commission. See OCREC Response Exh. A. The State Attorney's Office and FDLE dismissed the complaints for lack of sufficient evidence to indicate that a criminal violation had occurred. OCREC Response, Exh. B. The Florida Elections Commission dismissed the complaint and appeal as insufficient to authorize an investigation under Chapters 104, 106 and Section 105.071 of Florida statutes. OCREC Response, Exh. B.

6

- two committees, were permissible pursuant to 11 C.F.R. § 110.3(c)(1) and also were
- 2 properly disclosed on their federal and state disclosure reports. Therefore, the
- 3 Commission found no reason to believe that Hillsborough County Republican Executive
- 4 Committee and Paul M. Phillips, in his official capacity as Treasurer, violated the Act.

### 5 II. FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS

#### A. Factual Background

- 7 OCREC and Hillsborough are both county party organizations that are affiliated
- 8 with the State Executive Committee of the Republican Party in Florida. OCREC is
- 9 registered with the Commission as a Non-Qualified Party Committee. Hillsborough is
- 10 registered as a Qualified Non-Party Committee. Lewis M. Oliver, III is chairman of the
- 11 Orange County Republican Executive Committee.<sup>2</sup>
- The allegations in the Complaint are based on two \$10,000 transfers that occurred
- on October 30, 2008. First, on October 30, 2008, OCREC made a \$10,000 transfer from
- its non-federal account to Hillsborough's non-federal account. On the same day,
- 15 Hillsborough made a transfer of \$10,000 from its federal account to OCREC's federal
- account. Both Committees reported the federal-to-federal transfer on disclosure reports
- 17 timely filed with the Commission. Further, both Committees reported the non-federal to
- 18 non-federal transfers on their Florida disclosure reports. See OCREC and Hillsborough
- 19 responses.
- The Complaint alleges that the \$10,000 transfers between OCREC and
- 21 Hillsborough took place from non-federal to federal accounts as a way to deposit

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> According to the OCREC website, the duties of a County Executive Committee include: increasing Republican registration, seeking "qualified Republicans to become candidates for local, county, state and federal offices, making party nominations, conducting campaigns for Republican state or local candidates selected in the primary election, and raising and spending party funds for the maintenance of the county party organization."

MUR 6212 Factual and Legal Analysis (Hillsborough)

- prohibited corporate funds into OCREC's federal account. Complaint at 1. The
- 2 Complaint also alleges that the OCREC federal account was a "secret" account that was
- 3 not properly disclosed to the membership. Id. at 1-2.
- 4 OCREC and Oliver contend that all transactions were discussed and approved by
- the membership. OCREC Response at 4-6. Respondents further assert that OCREC
- 6 Treasurer, Ronald Bass, signed of on all transfers and properly disclosed them pursuant
- 7 to state and federal reporting requirements. Id. at 6-7. Respondents provide a copy of the
- 8 OCREC's State Disclosure report (Itemized Contribution Page) that reflects the \$10,000
- 9 transfer from OCREC's non-federal account to Hillsborough's non-federal account on
- October 30, 2008, as well as a copy of the eheck for the funds. OCREC Response, Exhs.
- D and E. Respondents also provide a copy of the disclosure report filed with the
- 12 Commission, which reflects the \$10,000 transfer from Hillsborough's federal account
- into OCREC's federal account on October 30, 2008, as well as a copy of that check.
- 14 OCREC Response, Exhs. F, G and H.
- 15 A review of OCREC's Post-General Report filed with the Commission indicates
- that it had \$7,361 in its federal account at the start of the reporting period (on October 15,
- 2008), it received \$14,167 (including the \$10,000 transfer) from Hillsborough and the
- 18 Republican Party of Florida, and made approximately \$13,361 in expenditures during the
- 19 reporting period. The expenditures are disclosed on Schedule H4 (Disbursement for
- 20 Allocated Federal/Non-federal Activity) as 100% allocable to "federal administrative
- 21 activity" with the purpose described as expenses for "rent, phones, utilities." There were
- 22 no expenditures for "federal election activity" disclosed for that reporting period.

## B. Analysis

ı

2

22

## 1. Account Transfers

Based on the available information, the Commission concluded that the transfer of 3 funds made between the affiliated committees, OCREC and Hillsborough, were 4 permissible pursuant to 11 C.F.R. § 110.3(c)(1), and, therefore did not result in prohibited 5 contributions as alleged in the Complaint. 6 7 The Act provides that state, district, and local political committees that receive or make contributions in excess of \$1,000 during a calendar year are considered to be 8 9 "political committees," subject to the FECA's contribution limitations, prohibitions and reporting requirements. See 2 U.S.C. § 434(4)(c). State, district, and local committees 10 that are established, maintained or controlled by the same state or regional association are 11 considered to be "affiliated" under the Act, 11 C.F.R § 110.3(a)(1)(ii), and are permitted 12 to transfer funds hetween their respective separate segregated accounts. 11 C.F.R. 13 § 110.3(c)(1). While state, local, and county party committees may raise funds on behalf 14 15 of state and federal candidates, they are prohibited from using corporate funds to pay for any expenditure in connection with a federal election. 2 U.S.C. § 441b. 16 The Act and Commission regulations allow two affiliated county committees, 17 such as OCREC and Hillsborough, to transfer funds between their respective federal 18 accounts and between their respective non-federal accounts. See 2 U.S.C. § 441a(a)(4); 19 11 C.F.R. § 110.3(c)(1). Thus, OCREC's \$10,000 transfer from its non-federal account 20 into Hillsborough's non-federal account, as well as Hillsborough's transfer of \$10,000 21

from its federal account to OCREC's federal account, were legally permissible and the

MUR 6212			
Factual and Legal	Analysis	(Hillsborous	gh)

- 1 Commission determined there is no reason to believe that either committee violated 2
- 2 U.S.C. § 441b.

3

# 2. Disclosure Allegations

- 4 Contrary to the assertion in the Complaint, OCREC and Hillshorough properly
- 5 disclosed the details of the transfers on its federal and state disclosure reports.
- 6 Section 434(b) of the Act requires a political committee to file disclosure reports
- 7 for the reporting period and calendar year, which include "the total amount of all
- receipts" and "transfers from affiliated committees." 2 U.S.C. § 434 (b)(2)(D) & (F).
- 9 OCREC disclosed the \$10,000 transfer received from Hillsborough in its 2008 Post-
- 10 General Report. OCREC Response, Exh. D. The \$10,000 transfer to OCREC is also
- disclosed by Hillsborough in its 2008 Post-General Report. OCREC Response, Exh. F.
- 12 Thus, the Commission determined there is no reason to believe that Mr. Oliver, OCREC
- or Hillsborough violated 2 U.S.C. § 434(b).
- Based on the available information, the Commission found no reason to believe
- 15 that Hillsborough County Republican Executive Committee and Paul M. Phillips, in his
- official capacity as Treasurer, violated 2 U.S.C. §§ 441b and 434(b).

17